EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Cabinet Member



REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Executive Decision Reference Number – L47 23/24

Decision

| Title of decision: Plymouth and South Devon Freeport Business Case: Holland/Sandy Road Roundabout Improvements Decision maker: Councillor Tudor Evans OBE, Leader of the Council Report author and contact details: Catherine Parnall - <u>Catherine.Parnall@plymouth.gov.uk</u> Victoria Glennon - <u>Victoria Glennon@plymouth.gov.uk</u> Decision to be taken: To allocate £250,000 of Freeport Seed Capital Funding into the Capital Programme to be used for the Holland/Sandy Road Roundabout Improvements business case. To authorise the Section 151 Officer to approve relevant funding claims up to the total Seed Capital amount of £250,000 as listed above. Reasons for decision: Plymouth City Council is the Accountable Body for the Freeport and will receive and allocate the seed capital to support the delivery of the Freeport Annual Plan. The Accountable Body is responsible for the effective programme management of the Seed capital programme pipeline, including appraisal of individual business cases for Seed Capital projects. This decision is necessary in order to meet timeframes set by Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities (DLUHC) in order to deliver the proposed activities as set out in the Business Case and spend the funds accordingly and in time. Alternative options considered and rejected: Do nothing - This would severely impact on the ability to spend the allocated amount for this financial year. | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Report author and contact details: Catherine Parnall - <u>Catherine.Parnall@plymouth.gov.uk</u> Victoria Glennon - <u>Victoria.Glennon@plymouth.gov.uk</u> Decision to be taken: To allocate £250,000 of Freeport Seed Capital Funding into the Capital Programme to be used for the Holland/Sandy Road Roundabout Improvements business case. To authorise the Section 151 Officer to approve relevant funding claims up to the total Seed Capital amount of £250,000 as listed above. Reasons for decision: Plymouth City Council is the Accountable Body for the Freeport and will receive and allocate the seed capital to support the delivery of the Freeport Annual Plan. The Accountable Body is responsible for the effective programme management of the Seed capital programme pipeline, including appraisal of individual business cases for Seed Capital projects. This decision is necessary in order to meet timeframes set by Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities (DLUHC) in order to deliver the proposed activities as set out in the Business Case and spend the funds accordingly and in time. Alternative options considered and rejected: Do nothing - This would severely impact on the ability to spend the allocated amount for this financial year. Financial implications and risks: The funding is received from Government for the purpose of delivering the Seed Capital Freeport | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Catherine Parnall - <u>Catherine.Parnall@plymouth.gov.uk</u> Victoria Glennon - <u>Victoria.Glennon@plymouth.gov.uk</u> Decision to be taken: To allocate £250,000 of Freeport Seed Capital Funding into the Capital Programme to be used for the Holland/Sandy Road Roundabout Improvements business case. To authorise the Section 151 Officer to approve relevant funding claims up to the total Seed Capital amount of £250,000 as listed above. Reasons for decision: Plymouth City Council is the Accountable Body for the Freeport and will receive and allocate the seed capital to support the delivery of the Freeport Annual Plan. The Accountable Body is responsible for the effective programme management of the Seed capital projects. This decision is necessary in order to meet timeframes set by Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities (DLUHC) in order to deliver the proposed activities as set out in the Business Case and spend the funds accordingly and in time. Alternative options considered and rejected: Do nothing - This would severely impact on the ability to spend the allocated amount for this financial year. Financial implications and risks: The funding is received from Government for the purpose of delivering the Seed Capital Freeport | 2 | Decision maker: Councillor Tudor Evans OBE, Leader of the Council | | | | | | |
| Victoria Glennon - <u>Victoria.Glennon@plymouth.gov.uk</u> Decision to be taken: To allocate £250,000 of Freeport Seed Capital Funding into the Capital Programme to be used for the Holland/Sandy Road Roundabout Improvements business case. To authorise the Section 151 Officer to approve relevant funding claims up to the total Seed Capital amount of £250,000 as listed above. Reasons for decision: Plymouth City Council is the Accountable Body for the Freeport and will receive and allocate the seed capital to support the delivery of the Freeport Annual Plan. The Accountable Body is responsible for the effective programme management of the Seed capital programme pipeline, including appraisal of individual business cases for Seed Capital projects. This decision is necessary in order to meet timeframes set by Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities (DLUHC) in order to deliver the proposed activities as set out in the Business Case and spend the funds accordingly and in time. Alternative options considered and rejected: Do nothing - This would severely impact on the ability to spend the allocated amount for this financial year. | 3 | Report author and contact details: | | | | | | |
| 4 Decision to be taken: To allocate £250,000 of Freeport Seed Capital Funding into the Capital Programme to be used for the Holland/Sandy Road Roundabout Improvements business case. To authorise the Section 151 Officer to approve relevant funding claims up to the total Seed Capital amount of £250,000 as listed above. 5 Reasons for decision: Plymouth City Council is the Accountable Body for the Freeport and will receive and allocate the seed capital to support the delivery of the Freeport Annual Plan. The Accountable Body is responsible for the effective programme management of the Seed capital programme pipeline, including appraisal of individual business cases for Seed Capital projects. This decision is necessary in order to meet timeframes set by Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities (DLUHC) in order to deliver the proposed activities as set out in the Business Case and spend the funds accordingly and in time. 6 Alternative options considered and rejected: I. Do nothing - This would severely impact on the ability to spend the allocated amount for this financial year. 7 Financial implications and risks: The funding is received from Government for the purpose of delivering the Seed Capital Freeport | | Catherine Parnall - <u>Catherine.Parnall@plymouth.gov.uk</u> | | | | | | |
| I. To allocate £250,000 of Freeport Seed Capital Funding into the Capital Programme to be used for the Holland/Sandy Road Roundabout Improvements business case. 2. To authorise the Section 151 Officer to approve relevant funding claims up to the total Seed Capital amount of £250,000 as listed above. Reasons for decision: Plymouth City Council is the Accountable Body for the Freeport and will receive and allocate the seed capital to support the delivery of the Freeport Annual Plan. The Accountable Body is responsible for the effective programme management of the Seed capital projects. This decision is necessary in order to meet timeframes set by Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities (DLUHC) in order to deliver the proposed activities as set out in the Business Case and spend the funds accordingly and in time. Alternative options considered and rejected: I. Do nothing - This would severely impact on the ability to spend the allocated amount for this financial year. Financial implications and risks: The funding is received from Government for the purpose of delivering the Seed Capital Freeport | | Victoria Glennon - <u>Victoria.Glennon@plymouth.gov.uk</u> | | | | | | |
| for the Holland/Sandy Road Roundabout Improvements business case. To authorise the Section 151 Officer to approve relevant funding claims up to the total Seed Capital amount of £250,000 as listed above. Reasons for decision: Plymouth City Council is the Accountable Body for the Freeport and will receive and allocate the seed capital to support the delivery of the Freeport Annual Plan. The Accountable Body is responsible for the effective programme management of the Seed capital programme pipeline, including appraisal of individual business cases for Seed Capital projects. This decision is necessary in order to meet timeframes set by Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities (DLUHC) in order to deliver the proposed activities as set out in the Business Case and spend the funds accordingly and in time. Alternative options considered and rejected: 1. Do nothing - This would severely impact on the ability to spend the allocated amount for this financial year. Financial implications and risks: The funding is received from Government for the purpose of delivering the Seed Capital Freeport Financial implications and risks: Financial | 4 | Decision to be taken: | | | | | | |
| Capital amount of £250,000 as listed above. Reasons for decision: Plymouth City Council is the Accountable Body for the Freeport and will receive and allocate the seed capital to support the delivery of the Freeport Annual Plan. The Accountable Body is responsible for the effective programme management of the Seed capital projects. This decision is necessary in order to meet timeframes set by Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities (DLUHC) in order to deliver the proposed activities as set out in the Business Case and spend the funds accordingly and in time. Alternative options considered and rejected: I. Do nothing - This would severely impact on the ability to spend the allocated amount for this financial year. 7 Financial implications and risks: The funding is received from Government for the purpose of delivering the Seed Capital Freeport | | | | | | | | |
| Plymouth City Council is the Accountable Body for the Freeport and will receive and allocate the seed capital to support the delivery of the Freeport Annual Plan. The Accountable Body is responsible for the effective programme management of the Seed capital programme pipeline, including appraisal of individual business cases for Seed Capital projects. This decision is necessary in order to meet timeframes set by Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities (DLUHC) in order to deliver the proposed activities as set out in the Business Case and spend the funds accordingly and in time. Alternative options considered and rejected: Do nothing - This would severely impact on the ability to spend the allocated amount for this financial year. Financial implications and risks: The funding is received from Government for the purpose of delivering the Seed Capital Freeport | | | | | | | | |
| capital to support the delivery of the Freeport Annual Plan. The Accountable Body is responsible for the effective programme management of the Seed capital programme pipeline, including appraisal of individual business cases for Seed Capital projects. This decision is necessary in order to meet timeframes set by Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities (DLUHC) in order to deliver the proposed activities as set out in the Business Case and spend the funds accordingly and in time. Alternative options considered and rejected: Do nothing - This would severely impact on the ability to spend the allocated amount for this financial year. Financial implications and risks: The funding is received from Government for the purpose of delivering the Seed Capital Freeport | 5 | Reasons for decision: | | | | | | |
| programme pipeline, including appraisal of individual business cases for Seed Capital projects. This decision is necessary in order to meet timeframes set by Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities (DLUHC) in order to deliver the proposed activities as set out in the Business Case and spend the funds accordingly and in time. Alternative options considered and rejected: Do nothing - This would severely impact on the ability to spend the allocated amount for this financial year. Financial implications and risks: The funding is received from Government for the purpose of delivering the Seed Capital Freeport | | | | | | | | |
| Communities (DLUHC) in order to deliver the proposed activities as set out in the Business Case and spend the funds accordingly and in time. Alternative options considered and rejected: Do nothing - This would severely impact on the ability to spend the allocated amount for this financial year. Financial implications and risks: The funding is received from Government for the purpose of delivering the Seed Capital Freeport | | | | | | | | |
| I. Do nothing - This would severely impact on the ability to spend the allocated amount for this financial year. Financial implications and risks: The funding is received from Government for the purpose of delivering the Seed Capital Freeport | | Communities (DLUHC) in order to deliver the proposed activities as set out in the Business Case and | | | | | | |
| year. 7 Financial implications and risks: The funding is received from Government for the purpose of delivering the Seed Capital Freeport | 6 | Alternative options considered and rejected: | | | | | | |
| The funding is received from Government for the purpose of delivering the Seed Capital Freeport | | | | | | | | |
| | 7 | Financial implications and risks: | | | | | | |
| binds Plymouth City Council and Partners within the Freeport delivery governance into an agreement with the Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities (DLUHC) and further funding agreements between the Accountable Body and projects outlining that the funds are shouldered by the applicant as such is contractually obliged to deliver and spend according to the Fund parameters and requirements. | | funding and is underpinned by a Memorandum of Understanding and further funding agreements that binds Plymouth City Council and Partners within the Freeport delivery governance into an agreement with the Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities (DLUHC) and further funding agreements between the Accountable Body and projects outlining that the funds are shouldered by the applicant as such is contractually obliged to deliver and spend according to the Fund parameters and | | | | | | |
| Projects are subject to Accountable Body processes involving but not limited to procurement | | Projects are subject to Accountable Body processes involving but not limited to procurement | | | | | | |

| | regulations, monitoring and governance, as such, failure to follow requirements from either the Accountable Body or DLUHC runs the risk of remedial action. We will maintain robust programme management protocols through regular monitoring and review to ensure that the projects are delivered within the grant terms and conditions. Remedial action will be taken where necessary. | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 8 | Is the decision a Key Decision? (please contact <u>Democratic Support</u> for further advice) | | Yes | Νο | Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which: | | | | |
| | | | | x | in the case of capital projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of £3million in total | | | | |
| | | | | x | in the case of revenue projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of £1 million | | | | |
| | | | | x | is significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the area of the local authority. | | | | |
| | If yes, date of publication of the notice in the <u>Forward Plan of Key</u> <u>Decisions</u> | | | N/A | | | | | |
| 9 | linked to the C | | Growi framew This da and wi | This decision can be linked to the Plymouth Plan chapters; Growing City and International City as well as other frameworks including the Climate Emergency Action Plan. This decision would be directly linked to the capital budget and will be managed and monitored in accordance with Plymouth City Council processes. | | | | | |
| 10 | Please specify environmenta decision (carb | l implications of the | | There are no current anticipated adverse impacts as part of delivering this project. | | | | | |
| Urge | ent decisions | | | | | | | | |
| П | implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the public? | | Yes | | (If yes, please contact Democratic Support (<u>democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk</u>) for advice) | | | | |
| | | | No | x | (If no, go to section 13a) | | | | |
| I2a | Reason for ur | eason for urgency: | | | | | | | |
| I 2b | Scrutiny Chair Signature: | | | Date | | | | | |
| | Scrutiny Committee name: | | | | | | | | |
| | Print Name: | | | | | | | | |
| Cons | sultation | | | | | | | | |
| 13a | Are any other | Cabinet members' | Yes | | | | | | |

| | portfolios affected by the decision? | | No | x | (If no go to section | (If no go to section 14) | | | | |
|------|---|---|-----------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| l 3b | | ch other Cabinet member's folio is affected by the decision? | | | | | | | | |
| l3c | Date | e Cabinet member consulted | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision? | | Yes | | If yes, please discuss Officer | ss with the Monitoring | | | | |
| | | | | No x | | | | | | |
| 15 | Which Corporate Management Team member has been consulted? | | | e | Anthony Payne | | | | | |
| | | | | tle | Strategic Director f | or Place | | | | |
| | | | Date consu | ulted | 20 February 2024 | | | | | |
| Sign | -off | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Sign off codes from the relevant departments consulted: | | | ocratio datory | c Support /) | DS 112 23/24 | | | | |
| | | | | ice (m | andatory) | DJN.23.24.203 | | | | |
| | | | | l (man | datory) | 2333/190224 | | | | |
| | | | | Human Resources (if applicable) | | | | | | |
| | | | | Corporate property (if applicable) | | | | | | |
| | | | Proc | ureme | nt (if applicable) | | | | | |
| Арр | endic | es | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | Ref. Title of appendix | | | | | | | | | |
| | A | Part I Supporting Summary Report | orting Summary Report | | | | | | | |
| | В | Equalities Impact Assessment | sment | | | | | | | |
| | с | Climate Impact Assessment | | | | | | | | |
| Conf | ident | ial/exempt information | | | | | | | | |
| 18a | Do you need to include any confidential/exempt information? | | | x | If yes, prepare a second briefing report and indi | cate why it is not for | | | | |
| | | | | | of the Local Governme | blication by virtue of Part 1 of Schedule 12A the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking e relevant box in 18b below. | | | | |
| | | | | | (Keep as much informa briefing report that will domain) | | | | | |
| | | | | | Exemption Paragrapl | n Number | | | | |

| | | | I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | | |
|------------|--|--|------------------|----------------------------|----------|---------------|-----------|----|---|--|--|--|
| l 8b | | ial/exempt briefing report I Business Case (exempt) | | | x | | | | | | | |
| Back | ground Pa | pers | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | Please list a | ll unpublished, background pape | rs releva | nt to the | decisior | n in the tal | ole below | 1. | | | | |
| | Background papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, relied on to a material extent in preparing the report, which disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the work is based. If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Title of | background paper(s) | | Exemption Paragraph Number | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cabi | net M embe | er Signature | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | l agree the Corporate promote eq people who | the decision and confirm that it is not contrary to the Council's policy and budget framework, ate Plan or Budget. In taking this decision I have given due regard to the Council's duty to e equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act (2010) and those who do not. For details please see the EIA attached. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Signature | | Tuda 25 | Date of decision | | | 14 March 2024 | | | | | | |
| Print Name | | Cllr Tudor Evans OBE, Leader of Plymouth City Council | | | | | | | | | | |